

SEPTEMBER 2013 CLIMATE, ENERGY, AND ENVIRONMENT POLICY COMMITTEE

Climate and Energy 2012 Progress Report

METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS



Photo : LEED Platnium Certified Gaithersburg Olde Towne Youth Center



MOVING THE REGION FORWARD

The <u>Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments</u> (COG) is an independent, nonprofit association that brings area leaders together to address major regional issues in the District of Columbia, suburban Maryland and Northern Virginia. COG's membership is comprised of 300 elected officials from 22 local governments, the Maryland and Virginia state legislatures, and U.S. Congress.

<u>Region Forward</u> is COG's vision and mission. It's a commitment by COG and its member governments, who together seek to create a more accessible, sustainable, prosperous, and livable National Capital Region. *Region Forward* draws its climate and energy related goals from the 2008 National Capital Region Climate Change Report. The regional greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction goals include 10 percent below business as usual by 2012, 20 percent below the 2005 levels by 2020, and 80 percent below 2005 levels by 2050.

COG's Climate, Energy and Environment Policy Committee (CEEPC) supports the *Region Forward* vision and mission by providing leadership on climate change, energy, green building, alternative fuels, solid waste and recycling issues and by supporting area governments as they work together to meet *Region Forward* goals. Created in 2009, CEEPC includes representatives from COG's member governments, state environmental and transportation agencies, state legislatures, the Air and Climate Public Advisory Committee (ACPAC), federal and regional agencies, electric and gas utilities, environmental organizations, business organizations and members of the academic community. Several subcommittees, such as the Built Environment and Energy Advisory Committee (BEEAC) and ACPAC, provide essential input and support to CEEPC.

In January 2010, CEEPC adopted the 2010-2012 Regional Climate & Energy Action Plan, which identifies short-term actions to help move the region toward achieving long-term *Region Forward* goals. To monitor progress towards implementation of the Climate & Energy Action Plan, COG staff conducts an annual survey of local governments in the region. Twenty-two local governments were surveyed in January 2013 and 18 responded. Results reported in 2012 were incorporated into this report for the four local governments that did not respond in 2013. The local government progress reported in this document reflects the self-reported results from the returned surveys.

CHAIRMAN'S MESSAGE

Metropolitan Washington is a vibrant, growing region. We gained 350,000 new residents from 2005 to 2011, and are predicted to gain another 1.6 million by 2040. Our challenge is to ensure that this growth enhances the prosperity and sustainability of this region. We must continue to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, increase the energy efficiency of our buildings, expand renewables, provide clean transportation options, and make our communities great places to live and work.

CEEPC's Climate and Energy Action Plan helps local governments achieve these goals by identifying actions, programs, and policies that promote sustainability throughout the region. In January 2010, CEEPC adopted the first iteration of this plan, setting short-term goals for 2010 through 2012. The region made notable achievements over this time, including:

- The National Capital Region recorded a 17 percent reduction in CO₂ emissions from the electricity sector between 2005 and 2012.
- 73 percent of jurisdictions have renewable energy systems on local government property, nearly reaching the 75 percent goal.
- 2,897 on-site renewable energy systems have been installed across the region. While we have not reached our goal of reaching 5,000 systems by 2011, the pace of new installations is quickly growing. The total kilowatt capacity of renewable systems has grown nearly 600 percent from 2009 to 2012, and we expect to see this trend continue.

Recognizing this progress, CEEPC updated the Climate and Energy Action Plan in early 2013 to continue raising the bar and highlight priority areas for the next four years. The 2013 to 2016 Action Plan includes new and continuing goals. Moving forward our highest priorities will be energy efficiency, renewable energy, energy security, and green purchasing. Not only are these good for our region's environment, they also help to ensure a prosperous future.

As chairman of the CEEPC, I am very proud of our members' achievements toward the region's ambitious climate and energy goals. We have seen great progress toward the goals set forth in the 2012 Climate and Energy Action Plan, and I look forward to reaching, collectively, for even higher goals in 2016. These achievements would not be possible without the support of local governments and regional stakeholders. Thank you for taking an active role in promoting sustainability in your own communities and throughout the region.

> Roger Berliner, Chair, CEEPC



CEEPC Members

District of Columbia

Mary Cheh (CEEPC Vice Chair), District of Columbia (Council) Alternates – V. Davis Zvenyach and Tom Moir Harriet Tregoning, District of Columbia (Executive)

Maryland

Henri Gardner, City of Bowie Robert Catlin, City of College Park Alternate – Denise Mitchell Shelley Aloi, City of Frederick Blaine R. Young, Frederick County Alternate – Hilary Varnadore Ryan Spiegel, City of Gaithersburg Alternate, Mike Sesma Konrad Herling, City of Greenbelt Alternate – Leta Mach Roger Berliner (CEEPC Chair), Montgomery County (Council) Bob Hoyt, Montgomery County Alternate – Stan Edwards Will A. Campos, Prince George's County (Council) Alternate - Andrea Harrison Samuel Moki, Prince George's County (Executive) Bridget Newton, City of Rockville Fred Schultz, City of Takoma Park

Virginia

Del Pepper, City of Alexandria Alternate – Rob Krupicka Jay Fisette, Arlington County Steve Stombres, City of Fairfax Penelope Gross, Fairfax County Kambiz Agazi, Fairfax County Johannah Barry, City of Falls Church Alternate – David Snyder Ralph Buona, Loudoun County Jonathan Way, Manassas City (CEEPC Vice Chair) Martin Nohe, Prince William County Alternate – Michael May

State, Environment, Energy and Transportation Agencies

Keith Anderson, District Department of Environment Alternate – Brendan Shane
Dr. Teresa Lawrence, District Office of Energy
Terry Bellamy, District Department of Transportation Alternates – Faisal Hameed and Austina Casey
Bob Summers, Maryland Department of Environment Alternates – Luke Wisniewski and Renee Fizer
Abigal Hopper, Maryland Energy Administration Alternate – Devon Dodson
Howard Simons and Lyn Erikson, Maryland Department of Transportation Doug Domenech, Virginia Natural Resources/Environment Alternate – Maureen Matsen Sean Connaughton, Virginia Transportation Alternates – Garrett Moore and Renee Hamilton

State Legislatures

Alfred C. Carr, Jr., Maryland House of Delegates Adam Ebbin, Virginia House of Delegates Chap Peterson, Senate of Virginia

Public Advisory Committee

Caroline Petti, Air and Climate Public Advisory Committee

Federal and Regional Agencies

Rachel Healy, Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority Steve Walz, Northern Virginia Regional Commission Alternate – Dale Medearis Julia Koster, National Capital Planning Commission Alternate – Diane Sullivan Sarah Jensen, DOE Federal Interagency Sustainability Julia Hudson, General Services Administration, National Capital Region Bucky Green, EPA Sustainable Facilities Branch

Electric and Gas Utilities

Sarah Cosby, Dominion Virginia Power Melissa Adams, Washington Gas Alternate – Steve Jumper Kim Watson, Pepco

Environmental/Non-Profits

Caroline Keicher, Institute for Market Transformation Julie Locascio, Sierra Club Lise Van Susteren, M.D., Chesapeake Climate Action Network Alternate – Josh Foster

Business

Bob Grow, Greater Washington Board of Trade Stephen Pattison, Maryland Clean Energy Center Alternate – Katherine Magruder Nicole Steele, Alliance to Save Energy Jim Barrett, Applied Solutions Michael Donovan, USAID

Academic

Howard Ways, University of the District of Columbia Dr. Dan Sklarew, George Mason University Alternates – Dr. Kris Wernstedt and Michael Mortimer, Virginia Institute of Technology

At Large

Jeff Platenberg, Loudoun County Public Schools Donald Briggs, Frederick County Sustainability Commission Larisa Dobriansky, Global Energy Network

ENERGY EFFICIENCY

REGIONAL HIGHLIGHTS

95% of local jurisdictions are in the process of tracking and/or benchmarking government building energy performance¹

Over 800 buildings in the region have earned the **ENERGY STAR label, totaling** more than 187 million square feet of floor space²

45% of jurisdiction have in place or are in progress on an energy financing program for residential or commercial sectors¹

1 COG Annual Local Government Climate and Energy Surveys 2 ENERGY STAR Certified Buildings and **Plants Database** Note: The ENERGY STAR building rating is on an annual basis. This statistic includes buildings that have earned the ENERGY STAR label between 2000 and 2012.



Photo Credit: Arlington County Environmental Services

COG INITIATIVES

COG has been actively promoting the use of EPA COG also hosted workshops in 2012 to support COG ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager, an online tool for members in the area of energy efficiency investment tracking energy performance of individual buildings and financing. A couple examples include the and across an entire portfolio of buildings. Buildings "21st Century Local Energy Innovation" Leadership can be benchmarked compared to their own past Symposium and the Innovative Financing Tools for performance and compared to similar buildings Energy Efficient Buildings Workshop. The Leadership nationwide on a 1-100 rating scale. Buildings can Symposium highlighted new national and local earn the ENERGY STAR label if they earn a 75 or higher best practices in local government investment in rating. COG has provided two hands-on trainings of energy efficiency and clean energy. The Innovative Portfolio Manager, one in 2010 and another in 2012. Financing Tools Workshop brought together leaders Attendees learned how to measure and track energy from banks, law firms, and the Department of Energy use and carbon dioxide emission reductions to with consultants and other regional leaders to discuss establish baseline energy use, prioritize investments, the potential for energy efficiency financing, barriers to deployment, and case studies on innovative set goals and track improvements over time. solutions.

LOCAL HIGHLIGHTS



Local Energy Alliance Program of Virginia

The Local Energy Alliance Program of Virginia (LEAP-VA) The Maryland Clean Energy Center (MCEC) is a not-for-profit is a nonprofit energy services organization that is a oneentity created by the Maryland General Assembly in 2008 stop-shop for homes and businesses to call when they're to promote clean energy, economic development, energy looking to improve the energy efficiency of their homes or innovation and clean tech jobs in Maryland. Two innovative buildings and to secure low-interest loans. In 2012, LEAP programs that use private capital to finance energy efficiency partnered with the City of Alexandria, Arlington County, upgrades include Maryland Clean Energy Capital (MCAP) the Northern Virginia Regional Commission, and local credit and Maryland Home Energy Loan program (MHELP). MCAP unions to offer its programs and services to Northern Virginia utilizes state bonding authority along with private capital to provide largely tax-exempt debt financing for institutions communities. This includes programs and services such as the Home Performance with ENERGY STAR Program, a Home such as universities. MHELP is a partnership with the Energy Makeover Contest, establishing a network of pre-Maryland Energy Administration and the U.S. Department of qualified local contractors, training of local real estate agents, Energy to develop financing for Maryland property owners. and offering businesses energy reviews, benchmarking, and Examples of eligible projects include energy audits, installing financing resources. (Source: LEAP-VA) insulation, and duct sealing. (Source: MCEC)

Maryland Clean Energy Center

GREEN BUILDING



REGIONAL HIGHLIGHTS

The U.S. Green Building Council named the District of Columbia, Maryland and Virginia in the top 10 states for LEED in 2012. In the National Capital Region alone, there are over 700 LEED projects certified, totaling more than 177 million gross square feet.

Sources: Top 10 States for LEED in 2012 Public LEED Project Directory

COG INITIATIVES

To support the proliferation of green building in the region, COG established the Intergovernmental Green Building Group (IGBG) back in 2005 and has held a wide array of events (trainings, workshops, forums/expos, tours, etc) over the years and has written a variety of publications to expose regional leaders to the best national and local best practices. In 2007, the COG Board adopted a Regional Green Building Policy that recommends all new local government construction achieve Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Silver Certification and all other commercial construction should seek LEED Certified. COG has worked diligently to encourage local government adoption of green building policies.

To support improved energy efficiency in all new buildings, COG sought out and received \$96,000 from the Energy Foundation to send 62 local government representatives in the region to attend the 2010 International Code Council (ICC) Final Action Hearings. The region's representatives had a positive impact in the adoption of the 30 percent more stringent national building codes when compared to the 2006 codes.

COG will continue to work on green building and energy issues with the Built Environment and Energy Advisory Committee - a merger of IGBG and the Energy Advisory Committee.

LOCAL HIGHLIGHTS



City of Bowie

In January 2012, the Bowie City Council adopted a green The Loudoun County Green Building Policy and Guideline building policy in which at least LEED Silver Certification was established in November 2007. The goal is to attain a should be achieved for City funded new projects and major minimum LEED Silver Certification. The County has 9 LEED renovations over 10,000 square feet. The nearly 80,000 projects including the County Youth Shelter, which achieved square foot Bowie City Hall and Police Department building LEED Gold Certification. Some of the features of the Youth achieved a LEED Gold rating. Some of the green features of the Shelter include a geothermal heating and cooling system, building include a partial green roof with drought-resistant photovoltaic on-site power generation, natural daylight and native plants, more than 20% of building materials contain views to every occupied space, maximized use of open space, recycled content, an underground stormwater treatment water efficient fixtures and cisterns, and use of sustainable system, low-flow water fixtures as well as low VOC paints, materials such as bamboo, cork, and certified wood. (Source: sealants, adhesives, and carpets. (Source: City of Bowie City Loudoun County Green Building Program Presentation to Hall's LEED Features brochure) **BEEAC**)

Loudoun County

RENEWABLE ENERGY

REGIONAL **HIGHLIGHTS**

2,897 grid connected renewable energy systems operating in the region with a total capacity of 26,811 kW. In 2009, there were only 461 systems and 3,844 kW total capacity¹

73% of jurisdictions have renewable energy systems on local government property²

41% of jurisdictions in the region are EPA **Green Power Partners** (jurisdictions meet nationally accepted standards for green power purchase)³

1 COG Annual Energy Utility Surveys 2 COG Annual Local Government Climate and Energy Surveys 3 EPA Green Power Partner List



COG INITIATIVES

However, of those 75 only 40 were determined to be To support public agencies in the region with renewable energy installations the COG, along with economically viable due to current policy and market the EPA Green Power Partnership and Optony, a solar conditions. These 40 sites have the potential for over consulting firm, are helping to broker a regional 15 megawatts in solar photovoltaic capacity. pooling of resources to purchase solar cooperatively across the region. The goal of the collaborative Recognizing similarities in new federal clean energy goals with state and local government goals, COG approach is to reduce up-front costs for local governments and other regional entities by bundling opened up a dialogue with these representatives a number of solar projects into a streamlined group in order to discuss existing initiatives and potential procurement. This helps lower prices through new collaborative opportunities in the region. This economies of scale and decrease the transaction costs dialogue was kicked off at COG's 2012 Expert and and administrative burden with projects. EPA paid for Agency Roundtable on Sustainable Energy and 170 on-site solar feasibility surveys of public agency Economic Development and COG continues to explore facilities in the region and 75 were determined to best management practices and opportunities with be feasible for solar from a technical perspective. these stakeholders.

LOCAL HIGHLIGHTS



Charles County

Charles County installed a 12-kilowatt vertical-axis wind The Town of Bladensburg has installed two parking lot Smartturbine at the Crain Memorial Welcome Center. The vertical-Pole LED street lights that operate off the grid through dually axis technology can capture wind from all directions. The driven wind and solar collectors. The wind turbine is made wind turbine will be able to harness wind blowing off the from aluminum and has a vertical design to allow for power Potomac River and can provide 105 kilowatt hours per year; generation at low wind speeds. The 60 watt LED lights are the same as powering about 10 homes per year. It not only powered by wind both during the day and night and from reduces the Center's reliance on the public utility grid but solar during the day. There is battery back up for cloudy and also provides power to the Center's electric vehicle charging calm days and automatic daylight sensing or hour presets station. (Sources <u>Charles County</u> and <u>Washington Post</u>) controll the turning on and off of lights. (Sources: Town of Bladensburg and RND Group, Inc)



Town of Bladensburg

TRANSPORTATION

REGIONAL HIGHLIGHTS

500 is the estimated number of electric vehicles (EVs) owned in the region¹

15,000 to **30,000** EVs are projected to be in the region by 2015²

133 publically accessible EV charging stations are located in the region³

50% of jurisdictions have in place or are in progress on alternative fuel vehicle infrastructure (includes infrastructure for EV charging, biodiesel, ethanol, hydrogen, natural gas, and propane)⁴

 Number of registered EVs according to data provided by Virginia, Maryland and District of Columbia Motor Vehicle Departments as of June 2012
 Plug-in Electric Vehicle Load Estimator, Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, CA: 2012. Projection is based on past hybrid sales, manufacturer production estimates, and other publically available studies
 COG *Electric Vehicles in the Metropolitan Washington Region Report.* Analysis as of April 2012
 COG Annual Local Government Climate

4 COG Annual Local Government Clin and Energy Surveys



COG INITIATIVES

Achieving electric vehicle (EV) readiness in the National Capital Region will require a coordinated approach among local governments, utilities, players in the EV industry, and nonprofit groups. At the direction of CEEPC and with approval from the COG Board, COG convened an electric vehicle workgroup to identify barriers and opportunities regarding electric vehicle adoption in the region.

to identify barriers and opportunities regarding electric vehicle adoption in the region. Guided by the workgroup, COG staff developed a report in 2012 entitled: <u>Electric Vehicles in</u> <u>Metropolitan Washington</u>. This report seeks to provide a framework for establishing a regional readiness plan for the deployment of EVs in the National Capital Region. The report contains recommendations for

LOCAL HIGHLIGHTS



Alexandria Renew Enterprises

As of 2012, compressed natural gas (CNG) trucks must Alexandria Renew Enterprises, also known as AlexRenew, operates one of the most advanced wastewater reclamation be used for recycling, trash, and yard trim pickups by facilities in the United States, located on a 35-acre site within Montgomery County's collection contractors. CNG trucks walking distance of Old Town Alexandria. Sustainability is reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 10 to 15 percent and one of AlexRenew's core business objectives. AlexRenew are 50 to 90 percent guieter when compared to diesel trucks. implements a variety of initiatives to support this objective, The County has 105 collection trucks using CNG instead of including in its fleet vehicle replacement decisions. diesel. The Division of Solid Waste Services' fleet also consists AlexRenew's sustainable fleet consists of 2 hybrid Ford of other CNG vehicles such as dump trucks and pick-up Escapes, a hybrid Toyota Prius, an electric pick-up truck trucks. (Source: Montgomery County, Maryland, Division of (pictured) and a solar cart. (Source: AlexRenew and COG Solid Waste Services and its Talkin' Trash Blog from March 2, Water Utility Survey) 2013)

Montgomery County

GREENHOUSE GASES

REGIONAL HIGHLIGHTS

The National Capital Region recorded a 17 percent decrease in CO₂ emissions from the electricity sector between 2005 and 2012.

2005 GHG Emissions by Sector



To begin to understand progress made toward the 2012 goal, COG has conducted preliminary analysis of electricity and transportation sectors. Preliminary results show that the region is surpassing reduction goals in the electricity sector, with a 17 percent reduction in CO2 emissions from 2005 to 2012. Combined emissions from natural gas and electricity consumption showed a 16 percent decrease from 2005 levels. According to the most recent regional transportation emissions analysis, emissions from the transportation sector are estimated to be holding steady at 2007 levels. Analysis of other emissions sources, including stationary fuels, is pending.

Every year COG collects electricity and natural gas consumption data from utilities serving COG member jurisdictions. This data helps COG track regional trends in energy use and emissions, and can help local governments complete their own greenhouse gas inventories. In February 2012, COG hosted a panel discussion on methodologies for developing community greenhouse gas inventories, including experts from EPA, ICLEI—Local Governments for Sustainability, the Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission, and consulting firms SAIC and ICF. Participants learned about the 2012 ICLEI Community Protocol as well as a new resourced being piloted by EPA to help local governments conduct GHG inventories.





District of Columbia

On January 1, 2007, the Arlington County Board adopted The District of Columbia Greenhouse Gas Inventory was completed using a base year of 2006 and was updated in a goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions from County 2012 using 2009-2011 data. In 2006, the District emitted 10.2 government operations 10% by 2012 from a 2000 baseline. million tons of carbon dioxide equivalents (CO2e), a measure The County's 2012 Government Operations Greenhouse Gas of the six primary global-warming pollutants. In 2011, the Inventory shows that the County has met and exceeded the District emitted 8.9 million tons of CO2e, a reduction of 12.5% 2007 goal. The County has achieved a reduction of 11.7% in from the 2006 baseline year. Citywide emissions per capita its emissions, even as its services and facilities increased. To dropped from 18 tons/person of CO2e in the 2006 inventory meet the 2012 goal, the County implemented a variety of to 14.5 tons/person in 2011. In addition, emissions associated energy measures such as retrofitting lighting and upgrading with District government operations, a subset of the city's heating and cooling systems in government buildings as well total greenhouse-gas emissions, fell by 23% between 2006 as upgrading traffic signals and more than 2,500 street lights and 2011 (from 686,000 to 527,000 tons of CO2e). During the to LED technology. In addition, the Arlington Transit bus fleet same five year period the District grew by 6 percent in both grew from four vehicles to 55, and annual ridership increased population and of the city's total workforce. (Source: 2011 from 163,523 to more than 2.5 million between 2000 and District of Columbia Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory) 2012. (Source: Arlington County)

COG INITIATIVES

The National Capital Region Climate Change Report set a 2012 emission reduction goal of 10 percent below business as usual (BAU). Between 2005 and 2012, regional energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions were expected to grow by about 10 percent under a BAU scenario. The 2012 goal was established to stop projected growth in regional greenhouse gas emissions by achieving a 10 percent reduction in regional emissions between 2008 and 2012, corresponding to returning regional emissions to 2005 levels. In 2005, greenhouse gas emissions in the region totaled 74 million metric tons of CO₂e. As shown in the pie chart above, two sectors, transportation and electricity use contributed over 70 percent of regional CO₂ emissions.

*(Note: RCI fuel includes residential, commercial, and industrial natural gas, home heating oil, nonroad diesel, and aviation fuel. Other sources include methane from wastewater treatment and landfills, as well as high global warming potential gases used as refrigerants and solvents.)



Arlington County

CLIMATE RESILIENCY



REGIONAL HIGHLIGHTS

2012 brought record heat waves, the Derecho thunderstorm, and Superstorm Sandy. Moving forward, the Chesapeake Bay area is virtually certain to have higher sea levels and will very likely see higher temperatures and precipitation concentrated in heavier events.

COG INITIATIVES

A key focus of COG's resiliency initiatives has been to build the capacity of regional leaders to understand and address the unavoidable impacts of climate change. In order to help facilitate COG's initiatives, COG applied for and received technical assistance through the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Smart Growth Implementation Assistance Program (EPA SGIA). Through this process of working with EPA between 2010 and 2012, COG has been able to engage, educate, and train hundreds of leaders across the region on climate resiliency. COG has published a report that is a synopsis of lessons learned during the process called *Summary of Potential Climate Change Impacs, Vulnerabilities, and Adaptation Strategies in the Metropolitan Washington Region*. The report was developed as a stepping stone to assist local jurisdictions in identifying priority community-level risks and resiliency strategies.

In 2012, COG has continued to provide opportunities for stakeholder engagement at events such as the May 2012 Climate Impacts Symposium, the December 2012 Adapting to Extreme Events Workshop, and by applying and receiving scholarships to send teams of regional leaders to the Institute for Sustainable Communities' Sustainable Leadership Academy in Baltimore, MD and the Climate Leadership Academy on Adaptation and Resilience in Portland, Oregon.

LOCAL HIGHLIGHTS



City of Alexandria

The City of Alexandria's Energy and Climate Change Action Plan Recognizing the need to prepare itself for changing climate includes a section on Climate Adaptation and Preparedness patterns, the District Department of Transportation (DDOT) that identifies five key anticipated climate impacts to Sustainability Plan outlines climate change adaptation as one people and property, including sea level rise, decreased of its top eight priorities and published its Climate Change water availability, increases in air and water temperatures, Adaptation Plan. The Plan follows adaptation planning ecological disruptions, and quality of life effects. For each of frameworks developed by the National Cooperative Highway the impact areas, a preliminary list of adaptation actions is Research Program and the Federal Highway Administration identified. Some of the actions include a flooding study to to address climate impacts on DDOT's transportation assets. evaluate the most vulnerable areas of Old Town waterfront, The plan ranks the vulnerability of impacts on transportation installation of several stream flow monitors in collaboration infrastructure and outlines a number of implementation with Fairfax County, ongoing stream maintenance to reduce action items, such as factoring in climate change adaptation flooding, implementing the Urban Forestry Master Plan into all stages of project development. (Source: DDOT Climate aimed at achieving 40 percent tree canopy, and considering Change Adaptation Plan) a stormwater capacity study. (Source: City of Alexandria **Energy and Climate Change Action Plan**)





District Department of Transportation

GREEN PURCHASING



COG INITIATIVES

Regional Cooperative Purchasing and Rider Clause

COG administers a regional Cooperative Purchasing Program buying such commodities as fuel, heating oil, natural gas, and road de-icing salt. The program brings together area local governments, school districts, water departments, and transit agencies like WMATA, to purchase goods and commodities at reduced costs through volume buying. The procurement of sustainable paper was incorporated as an option in the cooperative purchase of copy paper in 2012 and an initiative to cooperatively procure solar for public facilities is currently underway (more details in the Renewable Energy section under <u>COG INITIATIVES on page 11</u>).

COG's Purchasing Rider saves local jurisdictions money on administrative expenses by substantially reducing the paperwork associated with competitive procurement. When a jurisdiction includes the Rider in contract(s) it extends the pricing and terms and conditions to the members of the cooperative purchasing program. In January 2012, COG conducted a green purchasing survey of COG member jurisdictions to identify green

product and service contracts in the region and identify which contracts contained the COG Rider Clause. Results of the survey were shared with member jurisdictions to help facilitate local government green procurement.

Responsible Purchasing Network Regional Membership and Trainings

COG established a 2012 Regional Membership to the Responsible Purchasing Network (RPN) to assist COG member jurisdictions in developing and implementing green purchasing policies and programs. RPN membership in 2012 was provided at no charge to COG member jurisdictions to an unlimited number of local jurisdiction employees. RPN is an international network of buyers dedicated to socially responsible and environmentally preferable purchasing (EPP) that provides green purchasing resources and tools that assist members in reaching green purchasing goals and save staff time and money. A Sustainable Purchasing 101 and New Member Orientation Webinar was held in January 2012 and in September 2012, COG hosted a Sustainable Procurement Workshop in which RPN trained procurement and environmental stakeholders on environmental products that save money.

LOCAL HIGHLIGHTS



Fairfax County

In order to facilitate the procurement of green products and Fairfax County, VA Environmentally Preferred Purchasing Policy is a broad, discretionary policy. Successful services, the District of Columbia established a cross-section implementation of the policy and greening of high impact Green Procurement Team representing all commodity groups and many departments. A Green Spend Report contracts has been achieved by creating a partnership between environmental staff (energy manager, sustainability was developed to establish a green procurement baseline manager, etc) and procurement staff. Some of the and the ability to track green procurement was set up in the City's procurement database. The City analyzed and environmentally preferred products the county purchases include office supplies, cleaning supplies, electronics, office prioritized products and service contracts to "green." Some of furniture, lights bulbs, paint, and carpet. Many of the green the environmentally preferred products the City purchases product and/or service contracts include the COG Rider include remanufactured toner cartridges, copy machines, Clause or are a US Communities contract; and therefore, computers, paper, and cleaning products. The Green other jurisdictions can benefit from those contracts. (Source: Procurement Team also began to coordinate with the Mayor's comprehensive program, Sustainable D.C. (Source: District Fairfax County and COG Local Government Environmental Preferable Purchasing Survey) of Columbia and COG Local Government Environmental Preferable Purchasing Survey)



District of Columbia

OUTREACH

REGIONAL HIGHLIGHTS

52% of the region's population lives in a jurisdiction that has in place a community energy challenge¹

74% of the region's population lives in a jurisdiction that promotes energy efficiency and/ or renewable energy incentives²

73% of businesses in the region are located in a jurisdiction that has in place or is in progress on a green business challenge³

1 COG Annual Local Government Climate and Energy Surveys 2 COG Annual Local Government Climate and Energy Surveys and US Census 2012 **Population Estimate** 3 COG Annual Local Government Climate and Energy Surveys and US Census 2007 Survey of Business Owners



COG INITIATIVES

COG launched a pilot on residential energy efficiency households signed up to support the program and named Wise Energy by Capital Area Neighbors (WE view tips, but did not shared their utility information. CAN) from October 2010 to March 2011 with three communities: Brookland, DC, Greenbelt, MD and In 2011 and 2012, COG convened the CEEPC Outreach the Cascades neighborhood in Loudoun County, Committee. The committee served as a forum to share VA. WE CAN featured an online energy consumption national and local best practices in community energy monitoring tool and reward program. outreach campaigns. The committee collaborated to identify a top list of sustainability practices that local There was a lot of support from community leaders governments could incorporate into their programs. and community groups in each pilot community that In addition, COG hired a marketing consultant worked to encourage residents to participate and take that shared marketing research on environmental action. COG met the goal of recruiting more than 150 attitudes and recommended best practices for households in the three pilot communities signed up marketing energy and sustainability community and tracked their energy use by linking their utility outreach programs in the National Capital Region.

accounts to the online platform. Over 200 additional

LOCAL HIGHLIGHTS



Frederick County

The Frederick County Green Homes Challenge helps residents In January 2012, Fairfax County launched its Energy Action take action at home to reduce energy use and utility bills, *Fairfax* pilot program to increase the awareness of residents adopt green lifestyle practices, and use renewable energy. regarding their personal energy consumption and to encourage them to reduce that consumption. The pilot To date, 893 households have registered with the Challenge program relied on three distinct but inter-related approaches and 150 have been certified. The County estimates the to energy education and outreach: direct engagement following savings based on the green actions reported by to homeowner and civic associations, a social media and these certified households: marketing "push," and a user-friendly website that serves to • 1,818 megawatt hours of energy saved annually "pull" residents and web-visitors to the site and program. • 1,881 metric tons CO₂ equivalents of GHG emissions The direct engagement aspect provided the peer-to-peer contact essential to behavior change. Program objectives

- prevented annually
- \$166,672 in cost savings per year
- 1,809,928 gallons of water saved per year

• 8,739 gallons of gasoline saved per year (Source: Frederick County)





Fairfax County

included educating citizens about their energy consumption both at home and in the workplace, explaining the energy assessment process, and encouraging residents to undertake energy-savings measures. (Source: Fairfax County)

ACTIONS SUMMARY

The following chart is a summary of progress made on select actions from the 2010 - 2012 Climate and Energy Action Plan.

| Local Government | 2012 Census Population Estimate ¹ | Govt GHG Inventory | Community GHG Inventory | Govt GHG Reduction Plan | Community GHG Reduction Plan | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| District of Columbia | 632,323 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| Suburban Maryland | | | | | | | |
| Charles County | 150,592 | | - | _ | - | | |
| Frederick County | 173,200 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | | |
| City of Frederick ² | 66,382 | | - | _ | - | | |
| Montgomery Counnty | 861,466 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | | |
| City of Gaithersburg ² | 62,794 | \checkmark | - | - | - | | |
| City of Rockville ² | 63,244 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | | |
| City of Takoma Park ² | 17,205 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | | |
| Prince George's County | 760,932 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | | |
| City of Bowie ² | 56,129 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| City of College Park ² | 31,208 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | - | - | | |
| City of Greenbelt ² | 23,541 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | \checkmark | - | | |
| Town of Bladensburg ² | 9,328 | - | - | - | - | | |
| Northern Virginia | | | | | | | |
| Arlington County | 221,045 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | | |
| Fairfax County | 1,118,602 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| Loudoun County | 336,898 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | | |
| Prince William County | 430,289 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | - | \checkmark | - | | |
| City of Alexandria | 146,294 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | | |
| City of Fairfax | 23,461 | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | - | | |
| City of Falls Church | 13,229 | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | \checkmark | | |
| City of Manassas | 40,605 | - | - | - | - | | |
| City of Manassas Park | 15,798 | _ | - | - | - | | |
| Percent Jurisdictions Implemented | | 68% | 55% | 41% | 27% | | |
| Percent Jurisdictio | 9% | 14% | 27% | 27% | | | |
| Implemented + | 77% | 68% | 68% | 55% | | | |

| Govt Energy/ Track Benchmark | Energy Efficient Street Light Program | Energy Finance Program | Green Building Program | Renewable Energy on Govt Property | Green Fleet Policy | Alternative Fuel Infrastructure |
|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | \checkmark | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| | | | | | | |
| $\checkmark\checkmark$ | - | - | \checkmark | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | - | - |
| ✓ ✓ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | - | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | - |
| - | \checkmark | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | _ | NR | - | - |
| $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | \checkmark | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ |
| \checkmark | \checkmark | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | - | \checkmark |
| \checkmark | \checkmark | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| \checkmark | \checkmark | - | N/A | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | - |
| \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | - |
| \checkmark | N/A | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | - |
| \checkmark | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| $\checkmark\checkmark$ | N/A | N/A | \checkmark | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark |
| \checkmark | - | N/A | - | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | NR |
| | | | | | | |
| $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ |
| \checkmark | \checkmark | - | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark |
| $\checkmark\checkmark$ | - | - | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark\checkmark$ | - | - | - | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | - |
| \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | - | \checkmark | - |
| \checkmark | \checkmark | - | _ | \checkmark | $\checkmark\checkmark$ | \checkmark |
| \checkmark | \checkmark | N/A | - | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| \checkmark | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 45% | 18% | 27% | 50% | 73% | 36% | 18% |
| 50% | 50% | 18% | 14% | 9% | 36% | 32% |
| 95% | 68% | 45% | 64% | 82% | 73% | 50% |

 $\checkmark \checkmark$ = Implemented

 \checkmark - In progress – = Not Started

NR = No Response

N/A = Not Applicable

¹ Annual Estimates of the Resident Population: 4/1/2010 - 7/ 1/2012 Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division

² Population in Maryland cities is included in appropriate county totals.



METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

777 NORTH CAPITAL STREET, NE, SUITE 300 WASHINGTON DC 20002 202-962-3200 <u>WWW.MWCOG.ORG</u>



EDITOR: MAIA A. DAVIS

CONTRIBUTING EDITORS: STUART FREUDBERG, JOAN ROHLFS, JEFF KING, LEAH BOGGS, JULIA ALLMAN, AMANDA CAMPBELL

Photo: Wind Turbine at Charles County Renewable Energy Center