

FINANCIALLY CONSTRAINED LONG-RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN FOR 2030 PROJECT DESCRIPTION FORM



BASIC PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Submitting Agency:
2. Secondary Agency:
3. Agency Project ID:
4. Project Type: ☐ Interstate ☐ Primary ☐ Secondary ☐ Urban ☐ Bridge ☐ Bike/Ped ☐ Transit ☐ CMAQ
☐ ITS ☐ Enhancement ☐ Other ☐ Federal Lands Highways Program
☐ Human Service Transportation Coordination ☐ TERMS
5. Category: ☐ System Expansion; ☐ System Maintenance; ☐ Operational Program; ☐ Study; ☐ Other
6. Project Name:

	Prefix	Route	Name	Modifier
7. Facility:				
8. From (_ at):				
9. To:				

10. Description:
11. Projected Completion Date:
12. Project Manager:
13. Project Manager E-Mail:
14. Project Information URL:
15. Total Miles:
16. Schematic:
17. Documentation:
18. Bicycle or Pedestrian Accommodations: ☐ Not Included; ☐ Included; ☐ Primarily a Bike/Ped Project; ☐ N/A
19. Jurisdictions:
20. Total cost (in Thousands):
21. Remaining cost (in Thousands):
22. Funding Sources: ☐ Federal; ☐ State; ☐ Local; ☐ Private; ☐ Bonds; ☐ Other

CLRP PROJECT DESCRIPTION FORM

SAFETEA-LU PLANNING FACTORS

23. Please identify any and all planning factors that are addressed by this project:
- ☐ Support the **economic vitality** of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency.
 - ☐ Increase the **safety** of the transportation system for all motorized and non-motorized users.
 - a. Is this project being proposed specifically to address a safety issue? ☐ Yes; ☐ No
 - b. If yes, briefly describe (in quantifiable terms, where possible) the nature of the safety problem:
 - ☐ Increase the ability of the transportation system to support **homeland security** and to safeguard the personal security of all motorized and non-motorized users.
 - ☐ Increase **accessibility and mobility** of people and freight.
 - ☐ Protect and enhance the **environment**, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns.
 - ☐ Enhance the **integration and connectivity** of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight.
 - ☐ Promote efficient system **management and operation**.
 - ☐ Emphasize the **preservation** of the existing transportation system.

ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION

24. Have any potential mitigation activities been identified for this project? ☐ Yes; ☐ No
25. If yes, what types of mitigation activities have been identified?
- ☐ Air Quality; ☐ Floodplains; ☐ Socioeconomics; ☐ Geology, Soils and Groundwater; Vibrations;
 - ☐ Energy; ☐ Noise; ☐ Surface Water; ☐ Hazardous and Contaminated Materials; ☐ Wetlands

CONGESTION MANAGEMENT INFORMATION

26. Do traffic congestion conditions necessitate the proposed project? ☐ Yes; ☐ No
27. If so, describe those conditions:
28. Is this a capacity-increasing project on a limited access highway or other arterial highway of a functional class higher than minor arterial? ☐ Yes; ☐ No
29. If yes, does this project require a Congestion Management Documentation form under the given criteria (see *Call for Projects* document)? ☐ Yes; ☐ No
30. If not, please identify the criteria that exempt the project here:
- ☐ The number of lane-miles added to the highway system by the project totals less than 1 lane-mile
 - ☐ The project is an intersection reconstruction or other traffic engineering improvement, including replacement of an at-grade intersection with an interchange
 - ☐ The project will not allow motor vehicles, such as a bicycle or pedestrian facility
 - ☐ The project consists of preliminary studies or engineering only, and is not funded for construction
 - ☐ The project received NEPA approval on or before April 6, 1992
 - ☐ The project was already under construction on or before September 30, 1997, or construction funds were already committed in the FY98-03 TIP.
 - ☐ The construction costs for the project are less than \$5 million.

CLRP PROJECT DESCRIPTION FORM

INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS

31. Is this an Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) project as defined in federal law and regulation, and therefore subject to Federal Rule 940 Requirements? ☐ Yes; ☐ No
32. If yes, what is the status of the systems engineering analysis compliant with Federal Rule 940 for the project? ☐ Not Started; ☐ Ongoing, not complete; ☐ Complete
33. Under which Architecture:
- ☐ DC, Maryland or Virginia State Architecture
 - ☐ WMATA Architecture
 - ☐ COG/TPB Regional ITS Architecture
 - ☐ Other, please specify:
34. Completed Date:
35. ☐ Project is being withdrawn from the CLRP.
36. Withdrawn Date:
37. Record Creator:
38. Created On:
39. Last Updated by:
40. Last Updated On:
41. Comments