



District of Columbia  
 Bowie  
 College Park  
 Frederick County  
 Gaithersburg  
 Greenbelt  
 Montgomery County  
 Prince George's County  
 Rockville  
 Takoma Park  
 Alexandria  
 Arlington County  
 Fairfax  
 Fairfax County  
 Falls Church  
 Loudoun County  
 Manassas  
 Manassas Park  
 Prince William County

**METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT POLICY COMMITTEE**  
**MEETING NOTICE**

**Date:** May 10, 2006  
**Time:** 10:00 a.m. to Noon  
**Location:** \*\*\* Lobby Level – Training Center \*\*\*  
 777 North Capitol Street NE  
 Washington, DC 20002

**AGENDA**

**10:00 a.m. 1. CALL TO ORDER, APPROVAL OF MARCH 8, 2006 MEETING SUMMARY, AND ANNOUNCEMENTS**

*Hon. Sharon Bulova*  
*Vice Chairman, Fairfax County*  
*Board of Supervisors*  
*Chair, Metropolitan Development*  
*Policy Committee*

- New MWCOG jurisdictional “*In Focus*” reports
- Update on WAHP Toolkit Presentations / Outreach
- Update on dissemination of “Smart Growth Begins at the Local Level”
- Other items

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Approve March 8, 2006 meeting summary

**10:20 a.m. 2. REVIEW AND ACTION ON ROUND 7.0a COOPERATIVE FORECASTS, AND BRIEFING ON ACTIVITIES OF THE PLANNING DIRECTORS TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

*Charles Graves*  
*Deputy Director, D.C. Office of Planning*  
*Chairman, Planning Directors Technical*  
*Advisory Committee (PDTAC)*

The District of Columbia Office of Planning has requested an opportunity to modify their Round 7.0 Cooperative Forecasts to reflect land use changes associated with the recently-approved Washington Nationals stadium and related transportation improvements. The Planning Directors Technical Advisory Committee (PDTAC) approved the requested modifications during their April 21 meeting. PDTAC Chairman Graves will also update the Committee on the PDTAC 2006 work program activities.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION: Review and Act on Round 7.0a Cooperative Forecasts; Receive Briefing and Recommend Next Steps**

**10:40 a.m. 3. BRIEFING ON “ENVISION GREATER WASHINGTON” WORK GROUP MEETINGS AND PROPOSED NEXT STEPS**

*Hon. Jay Fisette  
Chairman, COG Board of Directors  
Committee Members*

As a follow-up to last year’s “Reality Check on Growth” event, the Greater Washington Board of Trade convened the Potomac Conference 2006 Winter Meeting, “A Conversation About Our Region’s Future”. During their March 8 meeting, the COG Board was briefed on the event and endorsed COG’s participation in a work group to evaluate establishing a multi-sector, multi-year regional visioning effort, in partnership with the Board of Trade and the Community Foundation of Greater Washington. COG Board Chairman Jay Fisette will brief the Committee on the first meeting of the “Envision Greater Washington” Work Group.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION: Receive Briefing and Recommend Next Steps**

**11:00 a.m. 4. LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLIES UPDATE**

*Calvin Smith  
Director, Department of Human Services,  
Planning and Public Safety*

In an effort to broaden the policy focus of the Committee, MDPC members will be briefed on planning, growth, and housing legislation in the Maryland and Virginia General Assemblies and District Council which have direct impact on the Metropolitan Washington region.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION: Receive Briefing and Recommend Next Steps**

**11:20 a.m. 5. PROPOSED TRANSPORTATION PLANNING BOARD (TPB) LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION INITIATIVE**

*Ronald Kirby  
Director, Department of  
Transportation Planning*

The regional activity centers maps and more recent work such as the Regional Accessibility and Mobility Study underscore the need to foster a stronger linkage between land use and transportation planning efforts in the National Capital Region. During their April 10 meeting, the COG Board was briefed on a proposed new initiative by the Transportation Planning Board (TPB) to examine how the Constrained Long Range Plan can support promising land use and transportation strategies. Examples include ensuring that new transportation facilities are integrated with plans for concentrated, mixed-use development and supporting planning studies for promising land use initiatives and transportation facilities. The MDPC will be briefed on similar efforts sponsored by other Metropolitan Planning Organizations in San Francisco, Atlanta and Vermont, and how the TPB proposes to develop a transportation and land use incentives program in the Washington region.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION: Receive briefing and Recommend next steps**

**11:40 a.m. 6. BRIEFING ON OUTCOMES FROM COG PREDATORY LENDING CONFERENCE**

*Dara Dann  
COG Housing Programs Manager*

COG hosted a Predatory Lending Conference on April 27 to raise awareness of this growing issue and begin a dialogue for solutions framed around local and national best practices. Dara Dann, COG's Housing Programs Manager will summarize the event and proposed next steps.

**RECOMMENDED ACTION: Receive Briefing and Recommend Next Steps**

**11:55 a.m. 7. OTHER BUSINESS**

*MDPC Members*

**12:00 Noon 8. ADJOURNMENT**

**NEXT SCHEDULED MEETING: *July 12, 2006***

**Metropolitan Development Policy Committee**  
**Meeting Summary**  
**March 8, 2006**

**1. Call to Order, Approval of January 11, 2006 Meeting Summary and Announcements**

Chair Sharon Bulova called the meeting of the MDPC to order at 10:00am. The January 11, 2006 meeting summary was approved. Chair Bulova announced the meeting would be former Chair Elizabeth Hewlett's last meeting and presented a plaque from the group showing their appreciation

Paul DesJardin and Calvin Smith of the COG staff provided information on the second Day Laborer Summit scheduled for March 30. This conference will build upon the first Day Laborer Summit held on November 15, 2005 and will address what are the best practices in other parts of the country and what impact the proposed federal immigration legislation will have on the region. The meeting will be open to elected and appointed officials only.

Dara Dann, COG Housing Manager announced the upcoming Predatory Lending Conference on April 27, 2006. Chair Bulova asked whether the conference will integrate the affordable housing component. Mr. DesJardin responded that this issue would be addressed by the panelists in at least one of the sessions and all the conference information would be posted on the COG website.

Mr. DesJardin briefed the committee on the In Focus Reports. The reports feature demographic characteristics for each jurisdiction in the region and will be released this year. Mr. DesJardin gave an overview of the ongoing workshops connected to the Affordable Housing Toolkit.

Mr. Smith introduced Chinyere Uzoukwu, a new Policy Analyst for the Department of Human Services, Planning and Public Safety.

**2. Discussion of Greater Washington Board of Trade Potomac Conference Event and Next Steps**

Chair Bulova began the discussion by reviewing highlights from the Potomac Conference, especially the video presented. The video is available on the Board of Trade website at: <http://www.potomacconference.org/>. The conference provided a chance to discuss future development and planning with a focus on regional values. The breakout sessions provided an opportunity to brainstorm about what we value most about region and what are the challenges and then a session about what we do next, which Organization to adopt some regional planning process to steer. Ms. Bulova then read the proclamation developed at the session.

Mr. DesJardin commented that the COG Board of Directors will discuss COG's involvement in future Potomac Conference endeavors and provided background on the possible next steps.

COG Board Chair Jay Fisette added his perspective on what came out of conference. The conference is seen as an outgrowth of the ULI Reality Check process. The conference laid out several models of how regions work together to ensure their future. He noted that there were also discussions of how regional consensus building scenarios would be helpful and what form they would take. The conference ended with discussions of whether the issues were substantial enough to take a visioning exercise to the next step. The proposal that has been shaped is to create a next phase to agree to a 90 day phase that explores what options are out there and what are the desired outcomes of this type of planning process.

**Metropolitan Development Policy Committee**  
**Meeting Summary**  
**March 8, 2006**

Mr. Fisette stated that a working group would then develop a workplan in the next 90 days. During this phase, participants would decide 1) whether the Envision Greater Washington should actually go forward; 2) what type of body/organization this committee should consist of; and 3) what existing organizations could assist the process.

Chair Bulova commented that other jurisdictions, especially in Maryland, have very different government structures. Envision Utah did not face this problem because it was dealing with just one type of government structure.

Ms. Hewlett said that many people do not know breadth of what COG does. She believes COG does a very good job of addressing growth issues in the region. The major issues, according to Ms. Hewlett, are who is going to take the lead or do you form a new organization. Ms. Hewlett stated that COG needs to ask whether we have the money and wherewithal to be the leader. If we are not, we should play a key role in the process.

Councilmember Woodson questioned whether or not the efforts of existing organizations such as COG or the Board of Trade are not be given sufficient credit of what is already being done or attempted, and asked what would be the over-arching organization that could lead and make the necessary policy changes on behalf of all of the region's jurisdictions.

Mr. Fisette echoed the comments from the conference regarding the complexity of other regions including the Washington region (DC, Maryland, and Virginia) and agreed that every region is different and unique. In order to make the visioning process work in this region you will have to bring in the "three states" into the process. Mr. Fisette said that the potential difference with other regions is that COG has attempted to raise the visibility of the issues with such projects as the Regional Mobility and Accessibility Study, though this was primarily related to transportation. Mr. Fisette also said he believed that the region has never dealt with the land use issue and only transportation. Mr. Fisette also asked what COG and MDPC are going to do with the scenarios that came out of the Regional Mobility and Accessibility Study? Mr. Fisette noted that Realty Check on Growth event helped to raise this issue of the additional growth of two million people coming into our region and how that will this effect are quality of life. Mr. Fisette said that successful visioning efforts in other regions have shown that COG can not do this alone.

Chair Bulova stated that she would like to know what the difference is from what has been done already and what the Potomac Conference participants want to do with Envision Washington.

Mr. Fisette agreed that every jurisdiction is different, but stated that if we continue to grow, we will continue to strangle ourselves with traffic. Mr. Fisette stated that the visioning process must include the civic and business sectors in order to move toward a consensus on alternative development scenarios.

Ms. Woodson noted that any new group or coalition would have very little authority to regulate regional or local land use.

Council Chairman Dernoga asked what is going to be the conclusion of the exercise - to move more people and jobs to Prince George's County? Mr. Dernoga also asked how the region would get cooperative funding to implement what we need, and stated that he is not opposed to such an exercise, but that it would need to have follow up, to have a goal with lots of buy-in. The region is not capable of winning against competition without this.

**Metropolitan Development Policy Committee**  
**Meeting Summary**  
**March 8, 2006**

Mr. Fiset mentioned that Reality Check was an effort of Urban Land Institute (ULI) and the breadth of the participation was not a problem.

Lee Quill of the Metropolitan Development Citizens Advisory Committee commented that many mechanisms exist but no way to implement, and that the region needs to focus on how to make things happen.

Dr. Piret stated that the effort needs to be led by citizens and groups that are accountable in the region.

**3. Briefing on Preliminary Results from the TPB Regional Mobility and Accessibility Study**

Bob Griffiths of the COG/TPB staff presented a briefing on the preliminary findings of the TPB Regional Mobility and Accessibility Study. Mr. Griffiths described how the scenarios were used to help and guide the Round 7.0 Cooperative Forecast. Mr. Kirby discussed the next steps, including presentations and public outreach program planned for this project. Mr. Kirby indicated that public outreach will be an ongoing component of this project with three public meetings planned for the region so far.

**4. Presentation on the COG Board of Directors Arts and Culture Planning Group**

George Rice, COG Assistant Executive Director, began by describing the new Arts and Culture Planning Group as a “planning group” and not a policy committee. He also spoke about the mission statement to include “stimulate a creative economy and facilitate the integration of cultural institutions and change agents into civic dialogue throughout the region” Mr. Rice stated that the group would work through MDPC and the Human Services Policy Committee under the direction of the COG Board. Some of the deliverables of the group include: 1. A one-day, regional forum, 2. A series of Ethnic Communities Fact sheets, 3. Report on regional economic impact of the arts, and 4. Provide ongoing analysis to support arts and cultural activities. Ms. Coyne-Payne went into further detail about the report involving regional economic impact of the arts and cultural community in the region. Mr. Rice requested representation from the MDPC to join and serve on this new group. The group would be meeting every other month. Chair Bulova requested representation from MDPC to this new Arts and Culture Planning Group and indicated that MDPC would like future briefings on the group’s work.

**5. Other Business / Information Items**

Mr. DesJardin commented to the committee on the chronology of visioning activities and forecasting milestones that have occurred in the Washington region. One example being the “Wedges and Corridors” exercise of the 1960s. Mr. DesJardin also spoke about COG’s list of accomplishments relating to growth issues during the past year. He also remarked on how such activities such as the Planning Dialogue Series led to the smart growth video.

Ms. Woodson indicated that this would be her last meeting since she will not be seeking re-election and will be traveling during the month of May.

**Metropolitan Development Policy Committee  
Meeting Summary  
March 8, 2006**

Ms. Hewlett also indicated that this would be her last meeting as well.

**6. Adjournment**

Chair Bulova adjourned the meeting at 11:55 a.m..



*A public-private partnership affiliated with the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments to expand affordable housing opportunities in the Washington metropolitan region.*

## **The Washington Area Housing Partnership's Affordable Housing Workshop Schedule May 5, 2006**

### **Local Government Workshops/Briefings**

#### **Scheduled:**

**Frederick County**  
May 8<sup>th</sup> 3:15 p.m.  
Housing Advisory Committee

**The City of Takoma Park**  
May 23<sup>rd</sup> 7:00-9:00 pm  
Special Workshop Session

**Prince George's County**  
(tentative Saturday June 10<sup>th</sup>)

**Frederick County**  
June 29<sup>th</sup>  
Board of County Commissioners Meeting

#### **Proposed / In Planning Stage:**

**City of Alexandria**

**Frederick County**  
(working on a date for late June)

**City of College Park**  
(working on a date for early June)

**Prince William County**

#### **Completed Affordable Housing Briefings:**

**The City of Bowie**  
March 27<sup>th</sup> 8:00 pm  
City Council Work Session

**Loudoun County**  
April 19<sup>th</sup>  
Housing Advisory Board



*A public-private partnership affiliated with the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments to expand affordable housing opportunities in the Washington metropolitan region.*

---

## **Briefings and Presentations to Other Stakeholder Organizations**

### **National Association of Realtors**

April 24<sup>th</sup> 2006

### **Greater Washington Board of Trade Housing Task Force**

February 21<sup>st</sup> 2006

### **Association of Independent Schools of Greater Washington Conference: "Is the High Cost of Housing Driving Teachers Out of Town?"**

January 26<sup>th</sup> 2006

## **Briefings to COG Policy Committees**

### **Human Services Policy Committee**

December 16, 2005

April 21, 2006

### **Metropolitan Development Policy Committee**

January 11<sup>th</sup> 2006

### **Chief Administrative Officers**

March 1<sup>st</sup> 2006

### **Planning Directors Technical Advisory Committee**

January 13, 2006

### **Housing Directors Advisory Committee**

February 23<sup>rd</sup> 2006

### **Education Committee**

January 13, 2006

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
OFFICE OF PLANNING



Office of the Director

April 19, 2006

Mr. Paul DesJardin  
Planning Section Manager  
Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments  
777 North Capitol Street, NE, Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20002-4239

Dear Mr. DesJardin,

Thank you for this opportunity to provide the Council of Governments with updated partial forecast for the Round 7.0a, with specific reference to Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) 174, in which the Baseball Stadium will be located. The following table provides a summary of the changes in households, population, and employment projected for the next twenty-five years. The attached spreadsheet provides more detailed comparisons between Round 7.0 and partial Round 7.0a.

**District of Columbia Round 7.0a Partial Forecasts for TAZ 174**

	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2005-2030
<b>Households</b>	12	13	338	663	988	1,313	+1,301
<b>Population</b>	19	19	507	995	1,482	1,970	+1,951
<b>Employment</b>	1,097	1,597	2,847	3,347	3,747	4,097	+3,000

Round 7.0a shows an increase of 1,300 households between 2005 and 2030. This is due to three planned and proposed projects resulting in additional housing units. The three projects are the Florida Rock Project, South Capitol and N St., and Half & N. St. Together, they will add 1,300 units, which is an additional 1,000 units over what was previously forecast in Round 7.0.

The population projection for TAZ 174 will change as well. The net addition of 1,300 households, with an assumed household size of 1.5 persons, will result in an increase of population in that area by about 1,950 persons. This represents an increase from Round 7.0 of 1,300 persons.

The employment forecast for TAZ 174 was adjusted in Round 7.0a to account for the changed land use of that area. Round 7.0 forecast was based on proposed plans to build one or more office complex in that area. However, since the baseball stadium will occupy most of the area, employment over the next 25 years is forecast to increase by 3,000, which is 679 jobs less than the previous forecast.

If you have any questions regarding our forecast, please contact Joy Phillips of our office at (202) 442-7630.

Sincerely,

Charles C. Graves  
Deputy Director of Long Range Planning  
D.C. Office of Planning

**District of Columbia**

**Households, Population & Employment Forecast for TAZ 174 (Baseball Stadium)**

Note: Round 7.0 is the previously approved data, while Round 7.0a is the newly required subset of the previous data

<b>Round 7.0 for TAZ 174</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2030</b>
Households 7.0	12	13	293	301	308	313
Population 7.0	19	19	624	642	659	669
Employment 7.0	1,307	1,741	3,533	3,816	4,899	4,986

<b>Round 7.0a for TAZ 174</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2025</b>	<b>2030</b>
Households 7.0a	12	13	338	663	988	1,313
Population 7.0a	19	19	507	995	1,482	1,970
Employment 7.0a	1,097	1,597	2,847	3,347	3,747	4,097

	<b>2005</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>Households</b>			<b>2030</b>	<b>Change</b>	
			<b>2015</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2025</b>		<b>2005-2030</b>	
Households 7.0	12	13	293	301	308	313	301	
Households 7.0a	12	13	338	663	988	1,313	<b>1,301</b>	
			<i>Change from previous forecast</i>					<b>1,000</b>

	<b>2005</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>Population</b>			<b>2030</b>	<b>Change</b>	
			<b>2015</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2025</b>		<b>2005-2030</b>	
Population 7.0	19	19	624	642	659	669	650	
Population 7.0a	19	19	507	995	1,482	1,970	<b>1,951</b>	
			<i>Change from previous forecast</i>					<b>1,301</b>

	<b>2005</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>Employment</b>			<b>2030</b>	<b>Change</b>	
			<b>2015</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2025</b>		<b>2005-2030</b>	
Employment 7.0	1,307	1,741	3,533	3,816	4,899	4,986	3,679	
Employment 7.0a	1,097	1,597	2,847	3,347	3,747	4,097	<b>3,000</b>	
			<i>Change from previous forecast</i>					<b>-679</b>

**Summary of Intermediate Employment Forecasts**  
**Round 7.0a Cooperative Forecasts**  
**(Thousands)**

**DRAFT Planning Directors Technical  
Advisory Committee - April 21, 2006**

JURISDICTION	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2000 to 2030		MSA Regional Share
								Number	% Change	
District of Columbia	743.6	744.8	783.5	816.0	829.5	843.8	859.1	115.5	15.5%	8.3%
Arlington County	182.6	195.2	217.8	237.8	254.4	263.6	275.8	93.2	51.1%	6.7%
City of Alexandria	89.3	105.6	113.3	123.2	132.5	141.3	148.0	58.7	65.7%	4.2%
<b>Central Jurisdictions</b>	<b>1,015.5</b>	<b>1,045.6</b>	<b>1,114.5</b>	<b>1,177.0</b>	<b>1,216.5</b>	<b>1,248.7</b>	<b>1,282.9</b>	<b>267.4</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>19.2%</b>
Montgomery County (1)	474.3	500.0	545.0	580.0	615.0	645.0	670.0	195.7	41.3%	14.1%
Rockville (2)	68.7	75.3	87.6	93.7	99.9	102.1	104.7	36.0	52.3%	2.6%
Prince George's County	338.3	358.7	390.0	424.0	460.9	501.0	544.7	206.4	61.0%	14.8%
Fairfax County (3)	577.0	600.5	683.9	729.6	774.5	814.2	844.6	267.6	46.4%	19.2%
City of Fairfax (4)	28.8	29.2	31.3	33.3	35.3	37.3	39.3	10.5	36.5%	0.8%
City of Falls Church	9.5	9.5	11.8	15.1	17.8	19.9	20.3	10.8	113.7%	0.8%
<b>Inner Suburbs</b>	<b>1,427.8</b>	<b>1,498.0</b>	<b>1,662.0</b>	<b>1,782.0</b>	<b>1,903.5</b>	<b>2,017.4</b>	<b>2,118.9</b>	<b>691.0</b>	<b>48.4%</b>	<b>49.7%</b>
Loudoun County	90.5	122.7	153.7	183.8	212.9	242.0	271.2	180.6	199.6%	13.0%
Prince William County	94.6	111.6	128.6	143.7	159.1	173.5	186.0	91.4	96.6%	6.6%
City of Manassas	22.5	23.3	24.6	26.2	26.3	26.6	26.8	4.3	19.1%	0.3%
City of Manassas Park	2.7	3.0	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.9	2.2	79.5%	0.2%
Calvert County (5)	22.8	29.4	32.9	33.7	34.5	35.1	35.6	12.8	55.9%	0.9%
Charles County (5)	41.9	56.5	62.9	64.8	66.8	67.9	69.1	27.2	65.0%	2.0%
Frederick County	96.3	122.2	142.4	151.5	158.3	163.5	167.3	71.0	73.7%	5.1%
Stafford County (6)	30.5	38.3	46.1	52.7	59.2	66.3	73.4	42.9	140.9%	3.1%
<b>Outer Suburbs</b>	<b>401.9</b>	<b>506.9</b>	<b>595.8</b>	<b>660.9</b>	<b>721.9</b>	<b>779.7</b>	<b>834.3</b>	<b>432.4</b>	<b>107.6%</b>	<b>31.1%</b>
Anne Arundel County (7)	297.0	317.1	334.7	357.1	379.6	401.5	423.3	126.3	42.5%	n/a
Carroll County (7)	68.3	76.3	84.3	86.8	88.3	89.3	90.3	22.0	32.2%	n/a
Howard County (7)	160.0	180.0	200.0	215.0	230.0	245.0	260.0	100.0	62.5%	n/a
<b>Modeled Region - Baltimore Area Jurisdictions</b>	<b>525.3</b>	<b>573.4</b>	<b>619.0</b>	<b>658.9</b>	<b>697.9</b>	<b>735.8</b>	<b>773.6</b>	<b>248.3</b>	<b>47.3%</b>	<b>n/a</b>
King George County (6)	9.2	11.3	13.3	15.2	17.1	22.8	28.5	19.3	209.0%	n/a
Spotsylvania County (6)	25.5	28.9	34.8	39.7	44.7	49.4	54.1	28.6	112.2%	n/a
<b>Modeled Portion Only</b>	<b>24.0</b>	<b>27.2</b>	<b>32.8</b>	<b>37.4</b>	<b>42.1</b>	<b>46.6</b>	<b>51.0</b>	<b>27.0</b>	<b>112.3%</b>	<b>n/a</b>
Fredericksburg City (6)	19.0	26.6	34.3	39.2	44.0	48.7	53.4	34.4	181.1%	n/a
<b>Modeled Region - Fredericksburg Area Jurisdictions</b>	<b>52.2</b>	<b>65.1</b>	<b>80.4</b>	<b>91.8</b>	<b>103.2</b>	<b>118.0</b>	<b>132.9</b>	<b>109.3</b>	<b>209.1%</b>	<b>n/a</b>
St. Mary's County (5)	49.6	55.8	61.9	63.5	65.1	66.2	67.2	17.6	35.5%	n/a
Clarke County	4.4	4.7	5.1	5.4	5.7	6.0	6.4	2.0	45.0%	n/a
Fauquier County	17.2	19.7	22.3	24.9	29.2	32.2	35.4	18.2	105.5%	n/a
Jefferson County	12.8	14.8	16.8	18.8	20.8	22.6	24.5	11.7	91.7%	n/a
<b>Modeled Region - Other Jurisdictions</b>	<b>84.0</b>	<b>95.0</b>	<b>106.1</b>	<b>112.6</b>	<b>120.8</b>	<b>127.0</b>	<b>133.4</b>	<b>49.5</b>	<b>58.9%</b>	<b>n/a</b>
<b>Northern Virginia</b>	<b>1,128.0</b>	<b>1,238.9</b>	<b>1,415.7</b>	<b>1,550.0</b>	<b>1,676.9</b>	<b>1,789.5</b>	<b>1,890.3</b>	<b>762.3</b>	<b>67.6%</b>	<b>54.8%</b>
<b>Suburban Maryland</b>	<b>973.6</b>	<b>1,066.8</b>	<b>1,173.2</b>	<b>1,253.9</b>	<b>1,335.5</b>	<b>1,412.5</b>	<b>1,486.6</b>	<b>513.1</b>	<b>52.7%</b>	<b>36.9%</b>
<b>MSA REGIONAL TOTAL</b>	<b>2,845.2</b>	<b>3,050.4</b>	<b>3,372.3</b>	<b>3,619.9</b>	<b>3,841.8</b>	<b>4,045.9</b>	<b>4,236.0</b>	<b>1,390.9</b>	<b>48.9%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>TPB Model Region Total</b>	<b>3,506.7</b>	<b>3,783.9</b>	<b>4,177.8</b>	<b>4,483.2</b>	<b>4,763.7</b>	<b>5,026.7</b>	<b>5,275.9</b>	<b>1,769.2</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

(1) Forecasts for years 2000 to 2030 include all of Takoma Park

(2) Included in Montgomery County total.

(3) Forecasts for all years include Fairfax County Government employees working at the Fairfax County Public Safety Center.

(4) Forecasts for all years do not include Fairfax County Government employees (+/- 2,000 jobs) working at the Fairfax County Public Safety Center

(5) Tri-County Council for Southern Maryland develops ten-year incremental population, housing unit and employment forecasts for Calvert County, Charles County and St. Mary's County.

(6) Source: Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (FAMPO).  
CLRP 2030 Projections, March 2003, adjusted to common employment definition.

(7) Source: Baltimore Metropolitan Council, Round 6-B.

**Summary of Intermediate Population Forecasts  
Round 7.0a Cooperative Forecasts  
(Thousands)**

**DRAFT Planning Directors Technical  
Advisory Committee - April 21, 2006**

JURISDICTION	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2000 to 2030		Regional Share
								Number	% Change	
<b>District of Columbia</b>	572.1	577.5	608.7	641.9	673.0	712.0	733.8	<b>161.8</b>	<b>28.3%</b>	<b>7.9%</b>
<b>Arlington County</b>	190.3	198.3	212.2	223.3	233.1	241.6	249.6	<b>59.3</b>	<b>31.1%</b>	<b>2.9%</b>
<b>City of Alexandria</b>	128.3	135.9	143.9	149.4	153.2	159.5	169.4	<b>41.1</b>	<b>32.0%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>
<b>Central Jurisdictions</b>	<b>890.7</b>	<b>911.6</b>	<b>964.8</b>	<b>1,014.6</b>	<b>1,059.2</b>	<b>1,113.1</b>	<b>1,152.8</b>	<b>262.1</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>12.7%</b>
<b>Montgomery County (1)</b>	873.3	942.0	1,000.0	1,040.0	1,077.1	1,115.3	1,155.8	<b>282.5</b>	<b>32.3%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>
<b>Rockville (2)</b>	47.4	59.9	65.3	68.3	68.8	69.0	69.5	<b>22.1</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>
<b>Prince George's County</b>	808.1	852.9	872.6	893.3	914.9	949.7	993.1	<b>185.1</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>9.0%</b>
<b>Fairfax County (3)</b>	969.0	1,040.9	1,132.5	1,211.5	1,276.0	1,303.7	1,330.9	<b>361.9</b>	<b>37.4%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>
<b>City of Fairfax (4)</b>	21.4	22.1	23.5	25.0	25.6	25.7	26.5	<b>5.1</b>	<b>23.9%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>City of Falls Church</b>	10.4	10.6	12.3	13.9	14.7	14.9	15.4	<b>5.0</b>	<b>48.5%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>Inner Suburbs</b>	<b>2,682.1</b>	<b>2,868.5</b>	<b>3,040.9</b>	<b>3,183.7</b>	<b>3,308.3</b>	<b>3,409.3</b>	<b>3,521.8</b>	<b>839.7</b>	<b>31.3%</b>	<b>40.8%</b>
<b>Loudoun County</b>	169.6	247.3	318.1	379.6	422.9	454.9	480.6	<b>311.0</b>	<b>183.4%</b>	<b>15.1%</b>
<b>Prince William County</b>	281.3	352.1	416.8	463.4	489.9	524.9	556.3	<b>275.0</b>	<b>97.8%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>
<b>City of Manassas</b>	35.1	37.6	38.6	40.3	40.8	41.2	41.9	<b>6.7</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
<b>City of Manassas Park</b>	10.3	12.9	15.0	15.8	16.5	16.7	16.8	<b>6.5</b>	<b>63.3%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
<b>Calvert County (5)</b>	74.6	82.8	91.0	93.5	96.0	98.3	101.4	<b>26.8</b>	<b>36.0%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>
<b>Charles County (5)</b>	120.5	138.0	147.4	162.3	177.2	193.1	204.2	<b>83.7</b>	<b>69.4%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>
<b>Frederick County</b>	195.3	220.9	243.2	265.6	287.9	310.4	339.7	<b>144.4</b>	<b>74.0%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>
<b>Stafford County (6)</b>	92.4	107.1	121.7	136.4	151.0	174.5	195.8	<b>103.4</b>	<b>111.8%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>
<b>Outer Suburbs</b>	<b>979.2</b>	<b>1,198.6</b>	<b>1,391.9</b>	<b>1,556.8</b>	<b>1,682.2</b>	<b>1,814.0</b>	<b>1,936.7</b>	<b>957.5</b>	<b>97.8%</b>	<b>46.5%</b>
<i>Anne Arundel County (7)</i>	489.7	511.6	526.8	540.1	551.0	562.1	567.6	<b>77.9</b>	<b>15.9%</b>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>Carroll County (7)</i>	150.9	169.5	179.7	187.0	191.9	194.1	193.2	<b>42.3</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>Howard County (7)</i>	250.8	273.5	294.2	308.9	319.3	320.3	321.1	<b>70.3</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<i>n/a</i>
<b>Modeled Region - Baltimore Area Jurisdictions</b>	<b>891.4</b>	<b>954.6</b>	<b>1,000.7</b>	<b>1,036.0</b>	<b>1,062.2</b>	<b>1,076.5</b>	<b>1,081.9</b>	<b>190.5</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>King George County (6)</i>	16.8	19.6	22.3	24.1	25.9	27.7	29.5	<b>12.7</b>	<b>75.8%</b>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>Spotsylvania County (6)</i>	90.4	107.7	124.9	139.0	153.0	167.2	181.4	<b>91.0</b>	<b>100.7%</b>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>Modeled Portion Only</i>	71.6	85.2	98.9	110.0	121.1	132.3	143.5	<b>72.0</b>	<b>100.5%</b>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>Fredericksburg City (6)</i>	19.3	21.5	23.6	25.4	27.1	28.4	29.8	<b>10.5</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<i>n/a</i>
<b>Modeled Region - Fredericksburg Area Jurisdictions</b>	<b>107.7</b>	<b>126.2</b>	<b>144.8</b>	<b>159.4</b>	<b>174.0</b>	<b>188.5</b>	<b>202.9</b>	<b>95.2</b>	<b>88.4%</b>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>St. Mary's County (5)</i>	86.2	93.5	100.8	107.8	114.8	121.2	127.6	<b>41.4</b>	<b>48.0%</b>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>Clarke County</i>	12.7	14.1	15.4	16.2	16.9	17.9	18.8	<b>6.2</b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>Fauquier County</i>	56.1	64.7	74.5	85.8	98.8	113.9	131.2	<b>75.1</b>	<b>133.7%</b>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>Jefferson County</i>	42.2	46.8	51.4	57.1	62.7	69.6	76.4	<b>34.2</b>	<b>81.1%</b>	<i>n/a</i>
<b>Modeled Region - Other Jurisdictions</b>	<b>197.2</b>	<b>219.1</b>	<b>242.1</b>	<b>266.8</b>	<b>293.2</b>	<b>322.5</b>	<b>354.0</b>	<b>156.8</b>	<b>79.5%</b>	<i>n/a</i>
<b>Northern Virginia</b>	<b>1,908.1</b>	<b>2,164.7</b>	<b>2,434.7</b>	<b>2,658.5</b>	<b>2,823.8</b>	<b>2,957.7</b>	<b>3,083.2</b>	<b>1175.1</b>	<b>61.6%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>
<b>Suburban Maryland</b>	<b>2,071.8</b>	<b>2,236.5</b>	<b>2,354.2</b>	<b>2,454.7</b>	<b>2,553.1</b>	<b>2,666.8</b>	<b>2,794.3</b>	<b>722.5</b>	<b>34.9%</b>	<b>35.1%</b>
<b>MSA REGIONAL TOTAL</b>	<b>4,551.9</b>	<b>4,978.7</b>	<b>5,397.6</b>	<b>5,755.1</b>	<b>6,049.8</b>	<b>6,336.4</b>	<b>6,611.3</b>	<b>2,059.3</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>TPB Model Region Total</b>	<b>5,748.2</b>	<b>6,278.6</b>	<b>6,785.2</b>	<b>7,217.3</b>	<b>7,579.3</b>	<b>7,923.9</b>	<b>8,250.1</b>	<b>2,501.9</b>	<b>43.5%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

(1) Forecasts for years 2000 to 2030 include all of Takoma Park.

(2) Included in Montgomery County total.

(3) Forecasts for all years include Fairfax County group quarters population at the Fairfax County Public Safety Center

(4) Forecasts for all years do not include Fairfax County group quarters population (+/- 1,000 people) at the Fairfax County Public Safety Center and Fairfax County household population (+/-1,300 people) in TAZ 1609.

(5) Tri-County Council for Southern Maryland develops ten-year incremental population, housing unit and employment forecasts for Calvert County, Charles County and St. Mary's County.

(6) Source: Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (FAMPO), CLRP 2030 Projections, March 2003. The estimates for 2010, 2020 and 2030 are control totals provided by the Virginia Employment Commission (VEC) and should only be used for transportation planning purposes. Incremental five-year estimates (2005, 201

(7) Source: Baltimore Metropolitan Council, Round 6-B.

**Summary of Intermediate Household Forecasts**  
**Round 7.0a Cooperative Forecasts**  
**(Thousands)**

**DRAFT Planning Directors Technical  
Advisory Committee - April 21, 2006**

JURISDICTION	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2000 to 2030		Regional Share
								Number	% Change	
<b>District of Columbia</b>	248.3	252.0	265.3	280.7	293.3	308.9	318.7	<b>70.4</b>	<b>28.3%</b>	<b>8.6%</b>
<b>Arlington County</b>	86.9	92.1	99.6	105.9	111.5	116.0	119.9	<b>33.0</b>	<b>37.9%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>
<b>City of Alexandria</b>	61.9	66.3	71.0	74.6	76.7	80.5	86.5	<b>24.6</b>	<b>39.7%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>
<b>Central Jurisdictions</b>	<b>397.1</b>	<b>410.5</b>	<b>435.8</b>	<b>461.2</b>	<b>481.4</b>	<b>505.4</b>	<b>525.0</b>	<b>127.9</b>	<b>32.2%</b>	<b>15.6%</b>
<b>Montgomery County (1)</b>	324.6	347.0	370.0	390.0	407.9	424.8	441.3	<b>116.7</b>	<b>36.0%</b>	<b>14.2%</b>
<b>Rockville (2)</b>	17.2	22.6	25.1	26.1	26.3	26.5	26.7	<b>9.5</b>	<b>55.3%</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
<b>Prince George's County</b>	288.6	308.5	321.8	334.6	346.9	360.8	378.1	<b>89.5</b>	<b>31.0%</b>	<b>10.9%</b>
<b>Fairfax County</b>	350.5	377.6	411.5	442.7	462.6	472.6	482.4	<b>131.9</b>	<b>37.6%</b>	<b>16.0%</b>
<b>City of Fairfax (3)</b>	8.2	8.5	9.0	9.6	9.9	10.1	10.5	<b>2.3</b>	<b>28.7%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
<b>City of Falls Church</b>	4.5	4.6	5.5	6.5	6.9	7.0	7.3	<b>2.8</b>	<b>63.3%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
<b>Inner Suburbs</b>	<b>976.3</b>	<b>1,046.2</b>	<b>1,117.8</b>	<b>1,183.5</b>	<b>1,234.1</b>	<b>1,275.3</b>	<b>1,319.7</b>	<b>343.4</b>	<b>35.2%</b>	<b>41.8%</b>
<b>Loudoun County</b>	59.9	87.5	112.7	134.4	149.7	161.0	170.1	<b>110.2</b>	<b>184.1%</b>	<b>13.4%</b>
<b>Prince William County</b>	94.6	117.9	140.9	158.5	169.4	181.2	193.1	<b>98.5</b>	<b>104.2%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>
<b>City of Manassas</b>	11.8	12.8	13.4	13.7	13.9	14.1	14.4	<b>2.6</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
<b>City of Manassas Park</b>	3.3	4.2	5.0	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.4	<b>2.1</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
<b>Calvert County (4)</b>	25.4	28.3	31.0	32.7	34.3	35.1	36.2	<b>10.8</b>	<b>42.3%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>
<b>Charles County (4)</b>	41.7	48.2	52.2	57.9	63.7	72.3	76.9	<b>35.2</b>	<b>84.5%</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
<b>Frederick County</b>	70.1	79.5	87.7	95.9	104.1	112.5	123.1	<b>53.1</b>	<b>75.7%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>
<b>Stafford County (5)</b>	30.2	36.3	40.9	46.4	51.9	59.8	68.4	<b>38.2</b>	<b>126.6%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>
<b>Outer Suburbs</b>	<b>336.9</b>	<b>414.7</b>	<b>483.9</b>	<b>544.8</b>	<b>592.4</b>	<b>641.4</b>	<b>687.6</b>	<b>350.8</b>	<b>104.1%</b>	<b>42.7%</b>
<i>Anne Arundel County (6)</i>	<i>178.7</i>	<i>190.6</i>	<i>201.1</i>	<i>210.5</i>	<i>218.4</i>	<i>224.8</i>	<i>228.1</i>	<i>49.4</i>	<i>27.7%</i>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>Carroll County (6)</i>	<i>52.5</i>	<i>59.5</i>	<i>63.6</i>	<i>66.9</i>	<i>69.5</i>	<i>71.3</i>	<i>71.8</i>	<i>19.3</i>	<i>36.8%</i>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>Howard County (6)</i>	<i>91.0</i>	<i>100.0</i>	<i>108.7</i>	<i>117.5</i>	<i>124.7</i>	<i>127.1</i>	<i>127.6</i>	<i>36.7</i>	<i>40.3%</i>	<i>n/a</i>
<b>Modeled Region - Baltimore Area Jurisdictions</b>	<b>322.1</b>	<b>350.1</b>	<b>373.4</b>	<b>394.9</b>	<b>412.6</b>	<b>423.2</b>	<b>427.5</b>	<b>105.4</b>	<b>32.7%</b>	<b>n/a</b>
<i>King George County (5)</i>	<i>6.1</i>	<i>7.2</i>	<i>8.3</i>	<i>9.1</i>	<i>9.9</i>	<i>10.6</i>	<i>11.4</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>87.9%</i>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>Spotsylvania County (5)</i>	<i>31.3</i>	<i>37.7</i>	<i>44.4</i>	<i>50.0</i>	<i>55.6</i>	<i>61.3</i>	<i>67.0</i>	<i>35.7</i>	<i>113.9%</i>	<i>n/a</i>
<b>Modeled Portion Only</b>	<b>24.8</b>	<b>29.8</b>	<b>35.1</b>	<b>39.5</b>	<b>44.0</b>	<b>48.5</b>	<b>53.0</b>	<b>28.2</b>	<b>113.9%</b>	<b>n/a</b>
<i>Fredericksburg City (5)</i>	<i>8.1</i>	<i>9.2</i>	<i>10.4</i>	<i>11.4</i>	<i>12.4</i>	<i>13.1</i>	<i>13.9</i>	<i>5.8</i>	<i>72.1%</i>	<i>n/a</i>
<b>Modeled Region - Fredericksburg Area Jurisdictions</b>	<b>39.0</b>	<b>46.3</b>	<b>53.9</b>	<b>60.0</b>	<b>66.3</b>	<b>72.2</b>	<b>78.4</b>	<b>39.4</b>	<b>101.2%</b>	<b>n/a</b>
<i>St. Mary's County (4)</i>	<i>30.6</i>	<i>33.5</i>	<i>36.4</i>	<i>39.5</i>	<i>42.6</i>	<i>45.5</i>	<i>48.4</i>	<i>17.8</i>	<i>58.0%</i>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>Clarke County</i>	<i>4.9</i>	<i>5.5</i>	<i>6.1</i>	<i>6.5</i>	<i>6.9</i>	<i>7.3</i>	<i>7.8</i>	<i>2.8</i>	<i>57.2%</i>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>Fauquier County</i>	<i>20.2</i>	<i>23.3</i>	<i>26.9</i>	<i>31.0</i>	<i>35.7</i>	<i>41.2</i>	<i>47.5</i>	<i>27.3</i>	<i>135.1%</i>	<i>n/a</i>
<i>Jefferson County</i>	<i>16.2</i>	<i>18.3</i>	<i>20.4</i>	<i>23.2</i>	<i>26.0</i>	<i>29.5</i>	<i>33.1</i>	<i>16.9</i>	<i>104.6%</i>	<i>n/a</i>
<b>Modeled Region - Other Jurisdictions</b>	<b>72.0</b>	<b>80.7</b>	<b>89.9</b>	<b>100.2</b>	<b>111.2</b>	<b>123.5</b>	<b>136.8</b>	<b>64.8</b>	<b>90.0%</b>	<b>n/a</b>
<b>Northern Virginia</b>	<b>711.6</b>	<b>807.9</b>	<b>909.5</b>	<b>997.6</b>	<b>1,057.7</b>	<b>1,107.7</b>	<b>1,157.9</b>	<b>446.3</b>	<b>62.7%</b>	<b>54.3%</b>
<b>Suburban Maryland</b>	<b>750.3</b>	<b>811.4</b>	<b>862.7</b>	<b>911.1</b>	<b>956.9</b>	<b>1,005.5</b>	<b>1,055.7</b>	<b>305.3</b>	<b>40.7%</b>	<b>37.1%</b>
<b>MSA REGIONAL TOTAL</b>	<b>1,710.3</b>	<b>1,871.3</b>	<b>2,037.5</b>	<b>2,189.5</b>	<b>2,307.9</b>	<b>2,422.1</b>	<b>2,532.3</b>	<b>822.0</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>TPB Model Region Total</b>	<b>2,143.3</b>	<b>2,348.4</b>	<b>2,554.7</b>	<b>2,744.6</b>	<b>2,897.9</b>	<b>3,041.1</b>	<b>3,174.9</b>	<b>1,031.6</b>	<b>48.1%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

(1) Forecasts for years 2000 to 2030 include all of Takoma Park.

(2) Included in Montgomery County total.

(3) Forecasts for all years do not include Fairfax County households (+/- 500 households) in TAZ 1609

(4) Tri-County Council for Southern Maryland develops ten-year incremental population, housing unit and employment forecasts for Calvert County, Charles County and St. Mary's County.

(5) Source: Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (FAMPO), CLRP 2030 Projections, March 2003

(6) Source: Baltimore Metropolitan Council, Round 6-B.

**Summary of Change between Employment Forecasts  
Draft Round 7.0a and Round 7.0 Cooperative Forecasts  
(Thousands)**

JURISDICTION	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030
District of Columbia	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.7	-0.5	-1.2	-0.9
Arlington County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Alexandria	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Central Jurisdictions</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>-0.2</b>	<b>-0.1</b>	<b>-0.7</b>	<b>-0.5</b>	<b>-1.2</b>	<b>-0.9</b>
Montgomery County (1)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rockville (2)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Prince George's County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairfax County (3)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Fairfax (4)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Falls Church	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Inner Suburbs</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Loudoun County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Prince William County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Manassas	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Manassas Park	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Calvert County (5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Charles County (5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Frederick County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Stafford County (6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Outer Suburbs</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Anne Arundel County (7)	0.0	0.0	-0.9	6.0	14.0	22.0	31.0
Carroll County (7)	0.0	2.8	7.5	7.7	7.7	7.7	8.7
Howard County (7)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Modeled Region - Baltimore Area Jurisdictions</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>13.7</b>	<b>21.7</b>	<b>29.7</b>	<b>39.7</b>
King George County (6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spotsylvania County (6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Modeled Portion Only	2.6	2.9	3.5	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.4
City of Fredericksburg (6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Modeled Region - Fredericksburg Area Jurisdictions</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.4</b>
St. Mary's County (5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Clarke County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fauquier County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jefferson County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Modeled Region - Other Jurisdictions</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Northern Virginia</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Suburban Maryland</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>MSA REGIONAL TOTAL</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>-0.2</b>	<b>-0.1</b>	<b>-0.7</b>	<b>-0.5</b>	<b>-1.2</b>	<b>-0.9</b>
<i>Regional Percent Change</i>							
<b>TPB Model Region Total</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>5.5</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>17.0</b>	<b>25.7</b>	<b>33.5</b>	<b>44.2</b>

(1) Forecasts for years 2000 to 2030 include all of Takoma Park

(2) Included in Montgomery County total.

(3) Forecasts for all years include Fairfax County Government employees working at the Fairfax County Public Safety Center.

(4) Forecasts for all years do not include Fairfax County Government employees (+/- 2,000 jobs) working at the Fairfax County Public Safety Center.

(5) Tri-County Council for Southern Maryland develops ten-year incremental population, housing unit and employment forecasts for Calvert County, Charles County and St. Mary's County.

(6) Source: Fredericksburg Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (FAMPO), CLRP 2030 Projections, March 2003, adjusted to common employment definition.

(7) Comparison is between the BMC Round 6-B and 6-A.

**Summary of Change between Population Forecasts  
Draft Round 7.0a and Round 7.0 Cooperative Forecasts  
(Thousands)**

JURISDICTION	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030
District of Columbia	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.4	0.8	1.3
Arlington County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Alexandria	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Central Jurisdictions</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>-0.1</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>
Montgomery County (1)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rockville (2)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Prince George's County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairfax County (3)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Fairfax (4)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Falls Church	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Inner Suburbs</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Loudoun County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Prince William County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Manassas	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Manassas Park	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Calvert County (5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Charles County (5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Frederick County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Stafford County (6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Outer Suburbs</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
Anne Arundel County(7)	0.0	0.1	0.0	1.0	1.9	2.8	4.7
Carroll County (7)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Howard County(7)	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.8	1.1
<b>Modeled Region - Baltimore Area Jurisdictions</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>5.8</b>
King George County (6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spotsylvania County (6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Modeled Portion Only	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Fredericksburg (6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Modeled Region - Fredericksburg Area Jurisdictions</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
St. Mary's County (5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Clarke County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fauquier County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jefferson County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Modeled Region - Other Jurisdictions</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Northern Virginia</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>Suburban Maryland</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>
<b>MSA REGIONAL TOTAL</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>-0.1</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>
<i>Regional Percent Change</i>							
<b>TPB Model Region Total</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>7.1</b>

(1) Forecasts for years 2000 to 2030 include all of Takoma Park

(2) Included in Montgomery County total.

(3) Forecasts for all years include Fairfax County group quarters population at the Fairfax County Public Safety Center.

(4) Forecasts for all years do not include Fairfax County group quarters population (+/- 1,000 people) at the Fairfax County Public Safety household population (+/-1,300 people) in TAZ 1609

(5)Tri-County Council for Southern Maryland develops ten-year incremental population, housing unit and employment forecasts for Calvert County, Charles County and St. Mary's County.

(6) Source: Rappahanock Area Development Commission (RADCO), March 2003. The estimates for 2010, 2020 and 2030 are control Virginia Employment Commission (VEC) and should only be used for transportation planning purposes. Incremental five-year estimates and 2025) have been developed by MWCOG for the purpose of transportation modeling and air quality analysis.

(7) Comparison is between the BMC Round 6-B and 6-A.

**Summary of Change between Household Forecasts  
Draft Round 7.0a and Round 7.0 Cooperative Forecasts  
(Thousands)**

JURISDICTION	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030
District of Columbia	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.7	1.0
Arlington County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Alexandria	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Central Jurisdictions</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>1.0</b>
Montgomery County (1)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Rockville (2)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Prince George's County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fairfax County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Fairfax (3)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Falls Church	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Inner Suburbs</b>	<b>0.0</b>						
Loudoun County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Prince William County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Manassas	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Manassas Park	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Calvert County (4)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Charles County (4)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Frederick County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Stafford County (5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Outer Suburbs</b>	<b>0.0</b>						
Anne Arundel County(6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.8	1.2	2.1
Carroll County (6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Howard County (6)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.7	-0.5
<b>Modeled Region - Baltimore Area Jurisdictions</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>0.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>
King George County (5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Spotsylvania County (5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Modeled Portion Only	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
City of Fredericksburg (5)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Modeled Region - Fredericksburg Area Jurisdictions</b>	<b>0.0</b>						
St. Mary's County (4)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Clarke County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fauquier County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jefferson County	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Modeled Region - Other Jurisdictions</b>	<b>0.0</b>						
<b>Northern Virginia</b>	<b>0.0</b>						
<b>Suburban Maryland</b>	<b>0.0</b>						
<b>MSA REGIONAL TOTAL</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<i>Regional Percent Change</i>							
<b>TPB Model Region Total</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.6</b>

(1) Forecasts for years 2000 to 2030 include all of Takoma Park  
(2) Included in Montgomery County total.  
(3) Forecasts for all years do not include Fairfax County households (+/- 500 households) in TAZ 1609  
(4) Tri-County Council for Southern Maryland develops ten-year incremental population, housing unit and employment forecasts for Calvert County, Charles County and St. Mary's County.  
(5) Source: Rappahannock Area Development Commission (RADCO), March 2003.  
(6) Comparison is between the BMC Round 6-B and 6-A.

**Memorandum**

**Date:** April 12, 2006

**To:** Members, COG Board of Directors

**From:** Paul DesJardin  
Chief of Housing and Planning

**Subject:** Proposed Schedule for Round 7.0 Update to  
Regional Activity Centers and Clusters

**Background**

In 2002, the COG Board of Directors and the TPB approved the final Regional Activity Centers maps and criteria. The maps were called for in the 1998 "Action Agenda" of the TPB's *Transportation Vision* and were based upon the Round 6.1 Cooperative Forecasts of employment and households. The Planning Directors Technical Advisory Committee (PDTAC) was responsible for development of the Activity Centers maps and criteria under the direction of a joint COG / TPB Working Group. The maps of Activity Centers and Clusters have been widely used as a planning tool to analyze the impacts such as for the development of alternative land use scenarios for the TPB's Regional Mobility and Accessibility Study and during the "Reality Check on Growth" event.

**Updating the Regional Activity Centers and Clusters**

In approving the Regional Activity Centers and Clusters, the COG Board and TPB directed that the map be revised following the completion of the next major "Round" of Cooperative Forecasts and, in October 2005, the COG Board approved the Round 7.0 Cooperative Forecasts.

In November 2005, the Metropolitan Development Policy Committee was briefed by COG staff and PDTAC Chairman James Zook on initial work by the Planning Directors on the project. COG staff also briefed the TPB and TPB Technical Committee on plans to update the maps during their respective meetings in November 2005.

In discussing the Activity Centers and Clusters update process, MDPC members agreed unanimously with the PDTAC recommendations that:

- The criteria used to define the Regional Activity Centers typologies in 2002 will be maintained for the Round 7 update.
- The PDTAC and COG staff will apply the criteria to the Round 7 Forecasts using the COG/TPB Traffic Analysis Zones (TAZs).
- Jurisdictions that maintain smaller, local traffic zones may work with the PDTAC and COG staff to refine the size and shape of their Regional Activity Centers to reflect those boundaries.
- Regional Activity Clusters will also be developed similarly using the Round 7 Forecasts by COG/TPB TAZ or, if available, by more detailed local traffic zone

District of Columbia  
Bowie  
College Park  
Frederick County  
Gaithersburg  
Greenbelt  
Montgomery County  
Prince George's County  
Rockville  
Takoma Park  
Alexandria  
Arlington County  
Fairfax  
Fairfax County  
Falls Church  
Loudoun County  
Manassas  
Manassas Park  
Prince William County

Based upon the recommendations of the PDTAC and MDPC, COG staff has developed the following schedule for preparing the Round 7 update of the Regional Activity Centers and Clusters maps and data.

**Proposed Schedule for Review and Approval of  
Round 7 Regional Activity Centers and Clusters Maps  
Draft April 19, 2006**

- Fall 2005
  - Round 7.0 Cooperative Forecasts Approved by COG Board
  - MDPC and PDTAC discuss need to update the Activity Centers Maps
- February 2006
  - PDTAC members recommend deferring approval of Round 7.0 updates until 2007, primarily due to uncertainties concerning BRAC employment impacts
- March – July 2006
  - PDTAC members meet to refine and approve updates to the Regional Activity Centers and Clusters maps
- April 2006
  - COG Board of Directors briefed on Round 7 Regional Activity Centers and Clusters project and proposed schedule for review and approval
- July 2006
  - Metropolitan Development Policy Committee (MDPC) briefed on status of draft Round 7 Regional Activity Centers and Clusters maps
- September 2006
  - MDPC acts on Round 7 Regional Activity Centers and Clusters maps
- October 2006
  - COG Board and TPB act on Round 7 Regional Activity Centers Clusters maps