

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGREEMENT AND PBPP LOA

Responding to updated rules and
regulations governing MPOs

Sergio Ritacco, TPB Transportation Planner

Transportation Planning Board
March 23, 2018



Board Action

- New metropolitan transportation planning process “3C” agreement
 - Board action April 18
- Performance Based Planning and Programming Letters of Agreement (PBPP LOAs)
 - Individual agency action required
 - All must be executed by September 2018



“3C” Agreement

- **FAST Act requirement:** Agreement between MPO, state DOTs and transit agencies that provide funding and direct oversight
- Determine and identify the mutual responsibilities necessary to carry out (*Planning Rule § 450.314*):
 - Cooperative, continuing and comprehensive (3C) metropolitan transportation planning process
 - Respond to new performance-based planning and programming (PBPP) mandates
- Current agreement signed in June 2008
- New agreement must be executed by **May 26, 2018**



“3C” Agreement Contents

Contents of draft agreement:

- **Resolution:** To be acted upon by the TPB on April 18
- **Articles 1 through 12:** Follows the rules and regulations in *23 CFR 450 Subpart C – Metropolitan Transportation Planning and Programming*
- **Signatories:** TPB and agencies with direct funding and oversight for the planning process (DDOT, MDOT, VDOT, and VDRPT)



“3C” Agreement Process

- ✓ Reviewed by FTA, FHWA, state DOTs and transit agencies
- ✓ Completed final review by MWCOG counsel for sufficiency of compliance with federal regulation
- Ask TPB to approve Chair’s execution of the “3C” Agreement at the April 18 meeting
- Complete execution of all signatories by the federal deadline of **May 26, 2018**



PBPP LOA

- Meets the provisions specific to the new mandate for a PBPP process (23 CFR 450.314(h))
- New requirement between the TPB and individual members on establishing roles and responsibilities in meeting PBPP mandates:
 1. Collecting performance data
 2. Selection of targets
 3. Reporting of targets
 4. Reporting of performance



PBPP LOA Applicability

- Each individual LOA will vary depending on the PBPP performance area
- Customized to the needs of each specific agreement

	B. Highway Safety	C. Highway Pavement and Bridge Condition	D. System Performance (NHS Congestion, Freight, and CMAQ Program)	E. Transit Safety	F. Transit Asset Management
District DOT	√	√	√	√	√
Maryland DOT	√	√	√		
Maryland Transit Administration				√	√
Virginia DOT	√	√	√		
Virginia Department of Rail and Public Transportation				√	√
National Park Service		√			
Maryland Transportation Authority		√			
Metropolitan Washington Airport Authority		√			
Arlington County		√		√	√
City of Alexandria		√		√	√
City of Fairfax		√		√	√
Fairfax County		√		√	√
Fauquier County		√			
City of Frederick		√			
Montgomery County		√		√	√
Prince George's County		√		√	√
Prince William County		√			
Potomac and Rappahannock Transportation Commission				√	√
Virginia Railway Express				√	√
Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority				√	√



PBPP LOA Process

- ✓ Continue working with parties with PBPP responsibilities to execute agreements
- ❑ TPB action on each LOA not required but must ensure that all LOAs are executed
- ❑ TPB to receive regular status reports and expect completion of all LOAs by **September 2018**



Sergio Ritacco

TPB Transportation Planner

(202) 962-3232

sritacco@mwkog.org

mwkog.org/tpb

Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments

777 North Capitol Street NE, Suite 300

Washington, DC 20002



National Capital Region
Transportation Planning Board